Poorly-differentiated and undifferentiated sarcomas of the mediastinum: a bag of tricks
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\textbf{Abstract:} Mediastinum is a Pandora’s Box containing many different structures that can give origin to several cancer types. Our aims are to provide a general framework to make a diagnosis of an undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma and to highlight relevant immunohistochemical and molecular techniques that can help in the differential diagnosis. We, therefore, provide a simple three-step algorithmic approach to diagnose pleomorphic sarcoma, emphasizing the role of clinicopathological correlations and advocating for a “relative frequency” method, especially when the material for the diagnosis is scarce, as in small biopsies. In the first place, if clinical and/or radiological features make a non-sarcoma diagnosis more likely, it should be ruled in. Next, even if no specific non-sarcomatous diagnoses are suspected, they should always be ruled out. Lastly, since many sarcomas can have a pleomorphic appearance, specific entities should also be ruled out because their identification might affect prognosis and treatment. We then cover selected immunohistochemical and molecular ancillary tests that can come at hand in the diagnosis, highlighting the pros and cons; in particular the use and the limitations of H3K27me3 immunohistochemistry, the meaning of \textit{MDM2} amplification in the mediastinum and the implication of muscle differentiation—either smooth or skeletal—in sarcomas. The main take home messages are to always rule-out more frequent lesion first and always include clinical and radiological information in the diagnostic process.
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\textbf{Introduction}

\textbf{Differentiation versus histogenesis}

Classification of connective tissue neoplasms differs from most of others in a very peculiar way. As Stout and Lattes pointed out in their pioneering work, the histogenetic theory is of limited help in soft tissue pathology and the concept of differentiation is the key for understanding the classification (1). For instance—as these authors pointed out—rhabdomyosarcomas can arise in viscera, where skeletal muscle is absent; the same concept is also heralded by more recent sarcoma pathologists: indeed Professor Fletcher, in his book of oncological pathology, reports the example of liposarcoma arising in skeletal muscle (2).
The classification of connective tissue neoplasms considers tumors showing a specific differentiation (i.e., Adipocytic tumors) and tumors which do not. Among the latter there are several well-defined neoplasms, each with peculiar clinical presentation, morphology, immunohistochemistry and molecular features (as for Synovial sarcoma) and the so-called Undifferentiated sarcomas (i.e., tumors that show “no identifiable line of differentiation when analyzed by presently available technology”) (3). Undifferentiated sarcomas are further sub-classified by the morphology of the malignant cells in: (I) spindle cell sarcoma, (II) pleomorphic sarcoma, (III) epithelioid sarcoma, (IV) round cell sarcoma, or (V) a combination of the above. In the last WHO classification the undifferentiated small round cell sarcomas have been separated from all the other connective tissue neoplasms, because they represent a group with a specific clinical presentation and molecular alterations (3).

### Undifferentiated sarcoma

**Defining the unidentifiable**

Given this definition, the undifferentiated sarcoma is a diagnosis of exclusion and to make the diagnosis a step-wise approach can be helpful (4). In general, one should exclude:

(I) non-sarcomatous neoplasms: (i) such as carcinomas, that can be primary (an anaplastic carcinoma could arise in ectopic thyroid gland in mediastinum), or metastatic (as from a pleomorphic lung carcinoma); metastasis from other cancer type such as (ii) melanoma; and localization of a (iii) hematopoietic neoplasm (such as large cell lymphoma) (see Table 1).

(II) Sarcomatous differentiation of non-sarcomatous neoplasms: such as a sarcomatous differentiation of a germ cell tumor, or a heterologous differentiation of an epithelial tumor.

(III) Benign mesenchymal neoplasms characterized by substantial pleomorphism: such as (i) schwannoma with degenerative atypia (the so called “ancient” schwannoma), (ii) symplastic leiomyoma (that can rarely occur outside the uterus), and (iii) pleomorphic lipoma.

(IV) Dedifferentiation or malignant transformation of a mesenchymal tumors: the former often occurs in liposarcoma, chondrosarcoma, but can also be present in other neoplasms such as solitary fibrous tumor (6), the latter usually refers to the rare process of a benign–intermediate mesenchymal neoplasms that develop an overt sarcomatous area as in malignant PEComa.

Pleomorphic sarcomas of a specific histotype; among them can be identified: (i) intimal sarcoma, (ii) pleomorphic leiomyosarcoma, (iii) pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma, (iv) pleomorphic liposarcoma, (v) extraskeletal osteosarcoma and (vi) myxofibrosarcoma, high-grade.

Achieving a diagnosis of undifferentiated sarcoma can therefore be challenging, however it bears relevant prognostic implications (7). Clinical characteristics, morphological features, immunohistochemical stains and molecular tests, useful to narrow down the differential, will be covered in the next sections (Figure 1).

**First step in the diagnosis of undifferentiated sarcomas: is it a sarcoma?**

*Take all the help you can get*

Soft tissue books mainly focus on morphological, immunohistochemical and molecular aspects that can support the diagnosis of undifferentiated sarcoma (8-10), often—marginally—they also cover clinical features, but rarely radiologic ones are taken into consideration; this in sharp contrast with bone pathology that historically includes these features (11). Our approach is always to thoroughly revise clinical information and radiological images (a good proxy for gross examination); when neither is available, we ask. Phone calls are cheaper than immunohistochemistry

---

**Table 1 Useful immunostains to confirm non-sarcomatous diagnosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Keratins&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Melanocytic markers&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>CD45</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleomorphic carcinoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>p63, site specific stainings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Mutational analysis for BRAF (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematopoietic neoplasm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>B markers&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, T markers&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;, CD30, ALK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> multiple cytokeratin cocktails such as AE1/AE3, 8–18, 34βE12;  <sup>b</sup> S100 protein, SOX10, Melan A, HMB45;  <sup>c</sup> CD20/CD79a/PAX5;  <sup>d</sup> CD2/CD3.
and do not consume the paraffin block.

**Dealing with Pandora’s box**

Mediastinum, considered by Professor J. Rosai a Pandora’s Box (12), is usefully divided in four arbitrary anatomical categories: (I) superior, (II) anterior, (III) middle, and (IV) posterior. This simple framework allows to use the clinical and the radiological information more wisely: helping to prioritize the more likely differential diagnosis (13-16). Regarding mediastinal pleomorphic neoplasms, the superior mediastinum often harbors lymphomas and thyroid neoplasms. These two entities can also be found in the anterior mediastinum, where also thymic neoplasms, germ cells tumors and extra-adrenal paragangliomas develop. Lymphomas also arise in the middle mediastinum, which mostly is occupied by the heart, that can develop pleomorphic sarcomas too. Lastly, the posterior

---

*Figure 1* Algorithmic approach to the diagnosis of a mediastinal pleomorphic neoplasm. The careful review of clinical data (patient history, presenting symptoms and signs, radiology) is of paramount importance. If clinical data suggest a specific diagnosis of a non-sarcomatous or a benign mesenchymal neoplasm this should be confirmed with ancillary studies. In addition, even if clinical data are suggestive for a diagnosis of sarcoma, more common non-sarcomatous neoplasms should be ruled out. Finally, to conclude for a diagnosis of undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) other sarcomas must be ruled-out.
mediastinum is most often involved by mesenchymal neoplasms: the so-called “neurogenic tumors”; among these, pleomorphism—that could be worrisome on biopsies—can be seen in schwannomas, ganglioneuromas and paragangliomas. However, one should keep in mind that pleomorphism, in peripheral nerve sheath tumors, is a feature of malignancy (17). This anatomical approach is a useful point to start reasoning about the differential diagnosis.

**Seeing through the box**

Radiology and pathology are similar disciplines and someone foresees a future fusion of the two (18); meanwhile, a good cross-talk between specialists can be very helpful for the management of the current cases and for the growth of both. In dealing with the mediastinal neoplasm, in many—if not all—instances, reviewing the imaging studies is the only way the pathologist has to reconstruct where the specimen was biopsied, or removed. The optimal setting would be a multidisciplinary discussion with a dedicated sarcoma radiologist (19,20). However, when this is not an option, direct inspection of radiological exams (even by an unexperienced pathologist) can give a lot of information on key features such as the size, the exact location (as for Figure 2), and other gross features that can be suggestive of any special diagnosis. These can be: the relationship with other structures such as vessels, nerves, bones, or other organs (as in Figures 3 and 4); the type of growth, such as a nodular expansive (as in Figure 2) or irregular and infiltrative; and other indicative features such an adipose component (as in Figure 4) or a focally necrotic process.

**Always rule-out non-sarcomatous lesions first**

Sarcomas represent a very rare disease (21-23); before considering them, in the mediastinum or elsewhere, pathologists should always rule-out non-sarcomatous

---

**Figure 2** The patient, a young adult male, presented with a mediastinal multinodular infiltrative mass at CT scans (upper left panel; main image: sagittal view; insert: frontal view). The lesion was characterized by high-grade epithelioid and pleomorphic morphology and was diagnosed, elsewhere, as undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma. A complete immunohistochemical analysis showed a positive staining for CD45: a finding consistent with a diagnosis of myeloid sarcoma. Upper right panel: haematoxylin and eosin (H&E), ×100; lower left panel: H&E, ×400; lower right panel: ×400.
lesions (Table 1; Figure 2). Thymic epithelial neoplasms represent the majority of lesions arising in the mediastinum; their diagnosis usually rests on the evaluation of morphological features and immunohistochemistry plays a role in the diagnosis of difficult cases, some of which may present with spindle cell or pleomorphic morphology. Rare cases of sarcomatoid thymic carcinomas may raise a differential diagnosis with mediastinal sarcomas: a positive immunostaining for cytokeratins, PAX8 and/or p63 is in keeping with a diagnosis of an epithelial tumor (24). However, it should be kept in mind that there is no specific marker able to definitively distinguish epithelial tumors, although in selected cases—such as in the differential between thymic and lung—PAX8, CD5 and CD117 might be of help (25,26). The differential diagnosis therefore rests on a meticulous clinicopathological correlation. In addition, metaplastic thymoma can be considered in the differential diagnosis of spindle cell lesions, despite lacking overt atypia. This lesion, in addition to the expression of epithelial markers, is characterized by the recently described translocation involving the Yes Associated Protein 1 (YAP1) and Mastermind Like Transcriptional Coactivator 2 (MAML2) genes (27). A sarcomatous differentiation can be observed in mediastinal germ cells tumors (28). In keeping with other germ cell tumors, also those of the mediastinum are largely characterized by the presence of isochromosome 12p and 12p copy number gain in the post pubertal setting (29). Despite never being investigated in the sarcomatoid component of mediastinal germ cell tumors, data from gonadal lesions suggest that 12p copy number gain may provide support for the diagnosis (30).

Primum non nocere

The same relative frequency approach should be kept in mind when considering benign mesenchymal tumors, indeed they tend to be much more frequent than malignant and in clinical practice they outnumber sarcomas about 100 times (31). Although specific guidelines for mediastinal sarcoma are lacking (19,20), the mainstay of
therapy is radical surgery, and in other similar sites (i.e., retroperitoneum) a diagnosis of sarcoma might prompt extensive surgery with removal of other organs and structures even if not overtly infiltrated (32,33). Therefore, after the exclusion of the non-mesenchymal neoplasm, one should also exclude the benign mesenchymal one.

A frequent (pleomorphic) benign neoplasm

Schwannoma is a frequent benign mediastinal neoplasm that often shows some degree of pleomorphism (13-16). Diagnosis is usually straightforward, especially if clinical records and radiological exams report a posterior mediastinal mass, incidentally discovered, often laterally placed, that abuts the pleural surface (Figure 3). On small biopsies, two subtypes can raise concerns: (I) the ancient schwannoma, where scattered atypical pleomorphic nuclei as well as ischemic changes are present, but the Ki67 is low; (II) the cellular schwannoma—abundant in the mediastinum since often involves large nerves—is exclusively composed of hyperchromatic, tightly packed spindled cells, it can be mitotically active and have small areas of necrosis. Encapsulation and subcapsular lymphocytes are of little help on small biopsies, whereas hotspot—rather than diffuse—Ki67 labelling, a low proliferative index <20%, the retention of H3K27me3 and p16 immunoreactivity are helpful features that favor the diagnosis of cellular schwannomas in the differential with malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) (34-36).

If we are sure we are dealing with a sarcoma, how to proceed?

Tricks from the bag

Subtyping of pleomorphic sarcoma has therapeutic and prognostic implications (7,32,33) and immunohistochemistry and molecular approaches to
sarcoma have been systematically and extensively reviewed in several books (10,37). Herein we provide a succinct list of markers for specific sarcomas that can present with pleomorphic morphology, highlighting the criticalities of their use in this context. Moreover, these markers neither substitute reviewing clinical and radiological data, nor trump good sampling and histological evaluation. Regarding these latter aspects of sarcoma diagnosis, whenever in doubt, further sampling might provide additional morphological clues: indeed, we expect the better differentiated-component—of a dedifferentiated high-grade sarcoma—to be only focal.

Ring chromosomes ring bells

Liposarcoma is one of the most common diagnoses, and almost all the old malignant fibrous histiocytomas of the retroperitoneum (diagnostic category today replaced by undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma) are now diagnosed as liposarcomas (38,39). This is due to the advent of MDM2 immunohistochemistry and MDM2-amplification FISH analysis (40); they capture the underlying molecular event: a chromosomal amplification of the region 12q13-15, that can occur through several mechanisms, such as ring chromosome (41). Therefore, MDM2—and its chromosomal neighbor CDK4—expression by immunohistochemistry is often considered a good marker for the diagnosis of liposarcoma, at least in the retroperitoneum (42-45). However, in the mediastinum there is another pleomorphic sarcoma that share the same molecular alteration, and display similar morphology and immunohistochemistry: the intimal sarcoma (46). In these cases, the anatomical location is a very useful prompt for the diagnosis, and—in the mediastinum—we caution to diagnose any of these two entities in absence of further information (Figure 4).

Countermoves when the sarcoma shows the muscles (striated or smooth)

Myogenic differentiation, either toward smooth muscle or skeletal muscle is not a rare event in sarcomas (47-49). To further complicate the picture, common markers for myogenic differentiation namely desmin and α-smooth muscle actin are expressed in non-muscular neoplasms too (50-54). Therefore, when dealing with a pleomorphic sarcoma, the diagnosis of leiomyosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma can be tricky. Strict diagnostic criteria should be used: the former shall at least focally show the classic morphology (eosinophilic spindle cells with a vesicular cigar-shaped nucleus) and extensive positivity for α-smooth muscle actin (or calponin), or focal and strong positivity for desmin or h-caldesmon (55-57); moreover liposarcoma can show full blown smooth muscle differentiation (48,58) and therefore should be excluded. Pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma—that will show its rhabdomyoblastic differentiation almost exclusively via immunohistochemistry (either myogenin or MyoD1) (49,59)—should also be differentiated from those neoplasms that can have heterologous skeletal muscle differentiation such as liposarcoma and the malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) (3,48). We covered the former in the previous paragraph and the latter will be covered in the next one.

Hope-loss might be more specific for MPNST than H3K27me3-loss

MPNST is notoriously one of the most difficult diagnosis in the soft tissue (3,60). This is probably caused by its definition: MPNST are either (I) malignant spindle cell tumor arising from (i) a nerve or (ii) benign peripheral nerve sheath tumor or (iii) in a patient with type 1 neurofibromatosis; or (II) tumor showing histological and immunohistochemical features suggesting Schwannian differentiation (3). This is often shown by a patchy positivity for S100 and SOX10 (61). Recently, an epigenetic feature—the histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27) trimethylation deficit—has been associated to MPNST, and since it can be detected by immunohistochemistry (as loss H3K27me3 in neoplastic cells), many authors include this as a useful marker for MPNST diagnosis, especially in the differential with other sarcomas (62-64). Of note, this antibody can be useful in “sexing” normal tissue as it marks Barr’s body in female (65). However, since nobody is perfect, H3K27me3 does not distinguish MPNST from melanoma (66), and in this case, history, clinical presentation and molecular analysis can help (5); moreover, this “loss” staining pattern has also been described in radiation induced sarcoma (other than MPNST) (67).

A heavy bag to carry

When Pandora opened the Box all the evils came into the word (68), and carrying on the metaphor, one should—if not prepared—refrain from opening it. Expertise is central
in sarcoma management and it is associated with a better prognosis and reduced costs of patient management (69,70). European guidelines endorse histologic review whenever the original diagnosis was made outside a reference center or network (19), and also this strategy has been shown to be cost effective (71,72). From a practical point of view, this means that if you do not deal with sarcoma on a daily-weekly basis, it is wise to cooperate with someone that does.

In summary, when facing a mediastinal neoplasm showing pleomorphism one shall exclude non-mesenchymal and benign mesenchymal tumors first. Moreover, specific sarcoma histotypes that can show pleomorphism should also be excluded, given the clinical and therapeutic implications. Only after all the other diagnoses have been excluded one can sign out an undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma. This process should always include a meticulous review of the clinical and the radiological data.
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