The role of EBUS-TBNA in lung cancer restaging and mutation analysis
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Abstract: In recent years, several molecules targeting specific genetic aberrations were released for the treatment of patients affected by locally advanced and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), leading to an improvement in survival. Moreover, inhibitors of PD-1 and PD-L1 immune checkpoints showed to improve survival, and they are now indicated as first-line treatment in selected patients. Hence, the collection of adequate samples for diagnosis, staging, genotyping and immunohistochemical analysis is a fundamental step in NSCLC treatment planning. When feasible, EBUS-TBNA is suggested as the first-choice diagnostic tool by most of the guidelines. Several studies demonstrated that mutation analysis is viable with high levels of accuracy on both cytological and histological samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA. No technical factor (type of needle, number of passes, use of rapid-on-site-examination, material processing, detection method) has been identified as uniquely influencing the diagnostic yield of molecular analysis. EBUS-TBNA demonstrated to be useful for the restaging of patients affected by locally advanced NSCLC who underwent induction chemotherapy or chemo-radiotherapy, as well as in those who show acquired resistance to targeted therapy and immunotherapy. Nevertheless, most authors agree that a high number of false negative results should be expected due to the likely presence of necrosis and fibrosis induced by neoadjuvant treatments. Therefore, in case of EBUS-TBNA negative sample, pathologic confirmation by surgical biopsy is recommended for the planning of definitive treatment. As suggested by a few preliminary experiences, a wide application of next-generation sequencing (NGS) on EBUS-TBNA specimens will lead to the development of better tailored treatments with simultaneous identification of a large number of gene alterations on a single sample at the time of diagnosis.
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Introduction

Despite the advances of multimodality treatments, lung cancer is still one of the world-leading causes of death. It has been estimated that over 228,000 people will be diagnosed with lung cancer in 2020 in the USA, and up to 135,000 individuals will die of the disease (1). According to European data (2), pulmonary tumors represent the first cause of death for neoplasm in the male sex, and the second in women.

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 85% of all cases (3) and up to 65% of the patients have locally advanced or metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis (4,5).

In recent years, molecular targeted treatments have progressively entered in standard therapeutic regimens for stage III–IV NSCLC (6,7). The latest update of NCCN guidelines (8) recommends osimertinib as first-line
of EBUS-TBNA and endoscopic ultrasound-fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) allows complete staging of the mediastinum in patients with NSCLC, reaching a sensitivity value even superior to that of cervical mediastinoscopy (18), and should therefore be preferred whenever available (15,16).

With the discovery of the therapeutic value of targetable EGFR mutation in 2004, the availability of an adequate amount of tissue for histology subtyping and molecular analysis became a critical issue. Despite the uncertain consistency of the initial results (19), small samples and even cytological specimens proved to be appropriate for a full molecular assessment of NSCLC when properly handled (20,21). Moreover, in the studies by Heymann et al. (22) and Verocq et al. (23), the immunohistochemical analysis of PD-L1 expression on cytological and small biopsy samples from patients affected by NSCLC resulted comparable to the corresponding surgical samples.

Nowadays, EBUS-TBNA is a key diagnostic tool in patients with locally advanced or unresectable disease and for patients unfit for surgery because of comorbidities, reducing the need of invasive surgical diagnostic procedures. Considering these premises, EBUS-TBNA not only plays a key role in the diagnosis and staging of suspected lung cancer, but it also proved to allow accurate molecular characterization of the disease.

**Adequacy of molecular genotyping and PD-L1 assessment on samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA: review of literature**

In 2007, Nakajima et al. (24) first assessed the feasibility of EGFR mutation determination on samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA. In 43 out of 46 patients (93.5%) with newly diagnosed locally advanced or metastatic lung adenocarcinoma enrolled in the study, analysis of exons 19 and 21 of EGFR gene was possible after polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on histological core-biopsy tissue. The Authors concluded that EBUS-TBNA was an appropriate technique for EGFR mutation analysis; notably, specimens had a lower burden of contaminating cells with respect to those obtained with other non-surgical sampling techniques.

So far, a number of other studies investigated the adequacy of EBUS-TBNA samples for the search of several biomarkers (Table 1). Gefinitib and crizotinib were the first TKIs approved for the treatment of metastatic lung cancer patients, respectively expressing EGFR mutation and ALK translocation. Considering the higher rates of
Table 1 Results of molecular markers assessment on samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA from patients affected by NSCLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Enrollment period</th>
<th>N° patients</th>
<th>Histotype</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Markers assessed</th>
<th>Adequate samples for testing, %</th>
<th>Prevalence of positive samples', %</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nakajima, 2007 (24)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2003–2006</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>IIB–IV</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>Histologic cores obtained by EBUS-TBNA contain a lower burden of contaminating cells compared to other non-surgical specimens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Olivé, 2010 (25)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, NOS NSCLC</td>
<td>IIA–IV</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA is useful to obtain samples suitable for EGFR mutation analysis. The occurrence of mutations is higher in patients with adenocarcinoma than NOS NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakairi, 2010 (26)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>II–IV</td>
<td>H + C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>Cytological samples are suitable for ALK fusion genes analysis. Immunohistochemistry shows higher sensitivity than FISH and RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakajima, 2011 (27)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>II–IV</td>
<td>H + C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>Multigene mutation analysis is feasible on samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santis, 2011 (28)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2009–2011</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA provides sufficient cytological material for EGFR and KRAS mutation analysis. COLD-PCR increases the sensitivity of detection of mutant sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navani, 2012 (29)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2009–2011</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EGFR mutation determination is feasible on cytological EBUS-TBNA samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okada, 2012 (30)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2006–2009</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>IIA–IIIB</td>
<td>H + C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>EGFR mutation status on EBUS-TBNA samples may not reflect the status of primary tumors due to genetic heterogeneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esterbrook, 2013 (31)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2009–2011</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Non-squamous NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Cell blocks specimens are adequate for EGFR mutation testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neat, 2013 (32)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>IIB–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Evaluation for ALK rearrangement by FISH is possible in most cytological samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurado, 2013 (33)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2010–2012</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA under moderate sedation permits to obtain sufficient material for molecular analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Enrollment period</th>
<th>$^\text{N}$ patients</th>
<th>Histotype</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Markers assessed</th>
<th>Adequate samples for testing, %</th>
<th>Prevalence of positive samples, %</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Folch, 2013</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2007–2012</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, NOS NSCLC</td>
<td>II–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>Molecular genotyping with EBUS-TBNA is non-inferior to other minimally invasive and surgical techniques, and is superior to percutaneous needle biopsies. No factors responsible for failure of molecular testing have been identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casadio, 2015</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2012–2014</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, NOS NSCLC</td>
<td>IIB–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>No statistical difference in mutational status defined by cytological EBUS-TBNA samples compared to a large surgical series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravaccini, 2016</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2012 (collectively with traditional TBNA)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ineffective immediate fixation of cytological sample, subsequent incorrect handling, and low cellularity are causes of inadequacy for ALK evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guisier, 2016</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2012–2014</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Non-squamous NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>H + C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>The use of radial EBUS allows multi-gene molecular analysis in about 80% of patients with peripheral non-squamous NSCLC. Upper and middle lobe tumor location and &gt;3 passes are independent predictors of increased molecular assessment feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, 2016</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>2011–2013</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>II–IV</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>Triple gene analysis was possible in 96% of patients with small biopsy samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeyabalan, 2016</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2010–2014</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Combined EGFR-ALK success rate was 99%. Needle size does not affect accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandez-Bussy, 2017</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, NOS NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>Samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA are suitable for evaluation of acquired resistance to TKIs by ROS1 testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Enrollment period</th>
<th>N° patients</th>
<th>Histotype</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Markers assessed</th>
<th>Adequate samples for testing, %</th>
<th>Prevalence of positive samples, %</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosso, 2017 (41)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2005–2016</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA allows appropriate diagnosis, staging, and molecular characterization of NSCLC. ROSE does not improve the yield. Selection of needle can follow individual preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakakibara, 2017 (42)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>PD-L1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA enables better evaluation of PD-L1 expression than TBB, with results comparable to correspondent surgical samples, in particular in presence of high cellularity (&gt;2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raad, 2018 (43)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2012–2016</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>H + C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Availability of ROSE and &gt;6 passes increase the yield of EBUS-TBNA for multiple molecular determinations, and possible for NGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellinger, 2018 (44)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>H + C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>The application of a standardized protocol for specimen acquisition and processing improved the diagnostic yield for molecular genotyping with EBUS-TBNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biswas, 2018 (49)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>II–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Cytology samples from EBUS-TBNA provide sufficient material for both ALK, PD-L1 and NGS testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandez-Bussy, 2018 (46)</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>2015–2017</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>PD-L1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA provides adequate histological samples for PD-L1 analysis, but it is not clear if they are representative of primary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghigna, 2018 (47)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2011–2017</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>79.4 (overall)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA and rapid molecular diagnostics consent molecular profiling along with pathologic definition at the time of diagnosis. ROSE reduces the number of needle passes and improves adequacy of molecular testing and NGS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Enrollment period</th>
<th>N° patients</th>
<th>Histotype</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Markers assessed</th>
<th>Adequate samples for testing, %</th>
<th>Prevalence of positive samples(1), %</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sakata, 2018</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2006–2016</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PD-L1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>Increasing number of passes and large bore needles may reduce the number of false negative PD-L1 samples from EBUS-TBNA. Adequacy is influenced by the threshold of PD-L1 positive cells chosen as cutoff and cellularity of the sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicek, 2019</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2013–2016</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma, NOS NSCLC</td>
<td>IIIA–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>EBUS-TBNA provided adequate samples for ROS1 testing in a large population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROS1</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, 2020</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2016–2017</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PD-L1</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>No clinical or procedural factors are predictors of successful PD-L1 testing on EBUS-TBNA samples. Concordance with correspondent surgical tissue is 78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(1\), PD-L1 samples were considered positive when TMB >50% (high expression). NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; C, cytological sample; H, histological sample; NOS, not otherwise specified; NR, not reported; TMB, tumor mutational burden; TBB, transbronchial biopsy; NGS, next-generation sequencing; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; FISH, fluorescence-in-situ-hybridization; RT-PCR, real-time polymerase chain reaction.
positive samples found in female, non-smoker patients with adenocarcinoma histology (51), molecular assessment was at first almost exclusively reserved to these cases. Moreover, as incidence of EGFR alterations is relatively higher in Asian race compared to Caucasians (ranging from 14% to 27%), Japanese groups were the first to report their experience on the topic (26,27,30).

Most Authors agree that the diagnostic yield of EBUS-TBNA for molecular genotyping is high. In some studies, EGFR and ALK determination was possible in the entire cohort of the patients enrolled (26,30,36,38,39,43), and in most of the experiences adequate specimens were available in over 90% of patients who underwent EBUS-TBNA. In 2018, Labarca et al. released a meta-analysis including 33 studies (almost 2,700 patients) evaluating the diagnostic power of EBUS-TBNA for NSCLC molecular characterization (52). The pooled diagnostic yield for EGFR and ALK determination reached 94.5% and 94.9%, respectively; combined EGFR and ALK analysis, reported by 9 of the trials analyzed, was successful in 94.2% of cases.

As a result of the introduction of new molecules, improved diagnostic and therapeutic pathway of lung cancer, and increased confidence with the technique, indication for molecular assessment on EBUS-TBNA samples has now been extended to patients with histotypes different from adenocarcinoma, as well as to those with limited disease. Guisier and colleagues (37) investigated the presence of multiple gene aberrations (including EGFR, ALK, KRAS, MET, and ROS1) in 111 patients with peripheral non-squamous NSCLC who underwent sampling with radial EBUS-TBNA. Biopsy tissue resulted adequate in about 80% of cases. Other trials confirmed the possibility to perform multiple molecular analyses on EBUS-TBNA samples, some reporting a percentage of sample adequacy even superior to 90% (38,41,43).

Patients showing with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC, with wild-type EGFR and ALK and PD-L1 expression in over 50% of neoplastic cell population [i.e., tumor mutational burden (TMB)] at immunochemistry (IHC) are suitable for the treatment with PD-1 or PD-L1 ICIs (Figure 1). Significant results in terms of both local disease control and improvement of survival were demonstrated following treatment with these molecules (13). Considering that most patients with stage III–IV disease do not undergo surgical procedures, collection of adequate samples for IHC analysis by EBUS-TBNA has gained a prominent role.

Only few studies analyzed the feasibility of performance of PD-L1 testing by means of EBUS-TBNA, with diagnostic yield ranging from 86% and 100% of the patients tested (42,45,46,48,50). In the series by Sakakibara et al. (42), EBUS–TBNA samples showed a higher cellularity and contained better conserved tumoral cells with respect to those obtained with conventional transbronchial biopsy (TBB). Moreover, results of PD-L1 assessment were concordant to primary tumors and lymph node metastases with a good rate of correlation, as confirmed by another study (50).

As in case of other molecular biomarkers, cytological specimens demonstrated to be appropriate for a full analysis of PD-L1 with the currently available IHC platforms in the presence of adequate cellularity (45,48,50). Additional passes and large bore needles have been suggested to reduce confounding results due to possible tumor heterogeneity and choice of PD-L1 threshold; nevertheless, Smith and colleagues did not identify any significant procedural influencing factor (50).

**Technical factors affecting accuracy of mutation analysis on EBUS-TBNA samples**

It was demonstrated that accuracy of molecular analysis on lung cancer samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA is influenced by several intrinsic factors related to the tumor characteristics, such as histologic subtype, tumor location, target lymph node size, and grade of tumor heterogeneity between primary lesion and metastatic sites (30,37,42,46,53). Moreover, other factors potentially conditioning the rate of success are mutation prevalence in the examined population and ethnicity (52).

The role of technical features involved in EBUS-TBNA outcome for the search of molecular aberrations has been widely investigated. Several studies pointed out that the choice of needle, number of passes, use of rapid-on-site-evaluation (ROSE), sample cellularity and contamination by surrounding necrosis or blood elements, and sample processing are determinant factors to obtain suitable material (33). The CHEST guidelines for EBUS-TBNA released in 2016 recommend, regardless of ROSE availability, at least three passes for each sampled station, and possibly additional passes to increase effectiveness of mutation analysis, but with low level of evidence (54).

Others did not confirm these findings with contrasting results (34). The meta-analysis of Labarca et al. (52) failed to identify any procedural feature significantly correlated to provision of adequate material for molecular investigations.
Needle size and type of sample

In most of the published series, cytological and histological samples are obtained with the employment of 21- or 22-gauge needles. Although Authors supporting the use of larger bore needles confirm a similar diagnostic performance to 22-gauge needle, they report that samples obtained employing 21-gauge needles display conserved architecture, allowing better morphologic and genetic characterization of NSCLC (55). On the other side, 22-gauge needle has the advantage of being able to reach ‘difficult’ locations, such as 4L lymph node station, thanks to its flexibility.

Jeyabalan et al. (39) and Rosso and colleagues (41) analyzed the potential effect produced by the choice of needles of different size; both Authors, however, concluded that, given the comparable results, selection should follow the individual preference of the operator, as suggested by CHEST guidelines (54).

Number of passes and ROSE

In 2013, Yarmus and colleagues (56) analyzed the data of 85 patients affected by lung adenocarcinoma or not otherwise specified (NOS) NSCLC. Excellent results for mutation analysis including EGFR, ALK, and KRAS were obtained in patients submitted to at least 4 passes per sampled site and concurrent ROSE. Raad et al. stated that the rate of success could be increased by carrying out more than 6 passes in a Center with ROSE availability (43). In some cases, even higher number of biopsies (up to 20) have been reported (42).

The studies addressing the use of ROSE in patients undergoing EBUS-TBNA for genotyping of NSCLC gave discordant results. According to Ghigna et al. (47), fresh-frozen samples sent for on-site examination provide uncrushed genetic material for ancillary tests of higher quality than fixed samples. A randomized trial comparing two groups of NSCLC patients who underwent molecular analysis with or without ROSE found no significant

Figure 1 A 70-year old male patient was found to have a right solid pulmonary para-hilar mass invading the tracheobronchial angle (A); EBUS-TBNA resulted positive for adenocarcinoma G3 (TTF1 positive, p63 negative, synaptophysin negative, EGFR and ALK wild-type, KRAS positive) (B); at PD-L1 assessment (clone 22C3, Ventana Benchmark Ultra platform), 90% of neoplastic cells resulted positive (C); the patient underwent induction therapy with cisplatin-vinorelline (four cycles) and concurrent radiotherapy. After restaging, the mass resulted resectable by means of pneumonectomy; yet, surgery was contraindicated due to poor respiratory function. Immunotherapy with durvalumab was started. Chest CT scan 7 months after diagnosis showed a significant reduction of the tumor (D).
difference in terms of sensitivity and adequacy rate (57). Further investigations confirmed that, if an adequate number of passes per sampled station is performed (usually 3 to 4), it is possible to obtain a full molecular diagnosis of NSCLC regardless of the availability of ROSE (37,41,48,53). Hence, the use of ROSE is not mandatory, but it should be tailored on the basis of Center experience (54).

In the daily clinical practice, however, shortage of material for mutation analysis after routine processing for cytological and IHC analysis is common. Nevertheless, it has been showed that material obtained by even a single dedicated additional pass may provide sufficient material for a full molecular assessment (58), a factor that should always be considered to ensure adequate diagnosis, staging and molecular characterization of suspect lung cancer.

**Sample management and detection method**

Regardless of needle size, EBUS-TBNA sampled material can be processed in several ways both for histological and/or cytological examination. Cytological specimens may be smeared on glass slides or assembled as paraffin-embedded cell blocks following individual preferences.

In 2010, the conjunct consensus released by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) and the European Thoracic Oncology Platform (59) advised the use of core biopsies for EGFR characterization until better definition of the role of cytological specimens; similar conclusions are reported by IASLC for IHC analysis of PD-L1 (60).

Nevertheless, several studies analyzing the results of molecular determination and PD-L1 determination on EBUS-TBNA samples so far demonstrated that cytological specimens, in particular cell blocks, enable high quality processing for such purposes (26,28,29,31,32,35,45). Bravaccini et al. (36) reported that wrong specimen handling after withdrawal rather than the amount of tissue available for analysis is responsible for missing diagnosis and molecular characterization.

According to the guidelines of the World Association for Bronchology and Interventional Pulmonology (WABIP), none between smear glass cytology, cell block and tissue core biopsy is superior to the others to improve the likelihood to obtain adequate samples (61). In fact, cellularity of the sample, ratio between normal and tumoral cells, and performance of the adopted method of detection seem to be the factors mostly influencing the diagnostic yield. In most of the published series, PCR is the preferred method for amplification of target sequences; yet, the quantity of tumoral DNA necessary for completion of analysis may vary according to the used technique (28). With regard to ALK analysis, there are some evidences supporting superiority of IHC over fluorescence-in-situ-hybridization (FISH) and real-time PCR (RT-PCR) (26). However, no detection method demonstrated to be superior to others in the meta-analysis of Labarca et al. (52).

**Lung cancer restaging and EBUS-TBNA**

Stage III of tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system for NSCLC includes a variety of clinical presentations ranging from large pulmonary masses invading neighboring structures to small primary lesions with mediastinal lymph node metastases. Despite upfront surgery may be an option in carefully selected patients (e.g., in case of single N2 station disease) (62), it is widely accepted that primary resection without a preliminary induction therapy is detrimental because of high risk of incomplete resection and later recurrence (63).

In recent years, 18-F-FDG-PET scan demonstrated to be a useful tool to ensure appropriate staging of both primary tumor and regional and distant metastases (8). Still, some questions were raised regarding the efficiency of imaging for disease restaging after induction treatments. A recent systematic review underlined that, even if SUVmax and other newly introduced metabolic parameters seem to be promising factors for the evaluation of response, further larger trials are required to confirm the results (64).

Therefore, pathologic assessment after neoadjuvant therapy should still be considered mandatory for an appropriate therapeutic planning. Repeated mediastinoscopy or more invasive surgical approaches have been for a long time the only available techniques for preoperative evaluation of patients undergoing radical treatment. However, many Authors reported non-negligible rates of morbidity and mortality, and inadequate sensitivity and accuracy as consequences of technical challenges caused by the presence of inflammatory fibrosis induced by primary staging mediastinoscopy and oncological treatment (65).

The advent of EBUS-TBNA offered the possibility of a minimally invasive staging, and an improvement of the results of imaging staging when used in association with PET-CT scan (66). A summary of studies that investigated the role of EBUS-TBNA in mediastinal restaging is reported in Table 2.

Mediastinal restaging with EBUS-TBNA after induction
chemotherapy was first assessed in 2008 in a trial including 89 patients (67). Samples resulted positive in all patients showing stable disease at restaging CT scan and persistent metastases at subsequent intraoperative biopsy. However, 28 out of 35 EBUS-negative patients were found to have lymph node metastases at the time of surgery, resulting in suboptimal sensitivity and low (20%) negative predictive value (NPV). Nevertheless, the authors pointed out that these results were comparable to those obtained in patients who underwent induction therapy on the basis of non-invasive primary staging and later restaged with mediastinoscopy, and superior to repeated mediastinoscopy. Several studies remarked the presence of a fair number of false negative patients influencing the NPV of EBUS for mediastinal restaging. Probably, residual cancer cells may be not detected in small EBUS samples, because of necrosis and fibrosis induced by neoadjuvant chemo- and radiotherapy (74). Nevertheless, some authors reported values of NPV superior to 80%, not as high as those obtained by surgical restaging, but with a significant lower rate of procedural morbidity (69,71).

The association of EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA may improve the performance of ultrasonographic restaging (69). Szlubowski and colleagues (70) showed that a full mediastinal restaging with combined EBUS and EUS is feasible with a single scope instrument (CUSb-NA). Both sensitivity and accuracy of CUSb-NA resulted significantly higher than EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA alone. However, these results have not been confirmed in another study with a smaller population (66).

Guidelines for the selection of endoscopic or surgical restaging of NSCLC are still lacking. However, considering the number of false negative patients found at restaging with EBUS-TBNA, pathologic surgical confirmation [either with mediastinoscopy, transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy (TEMLA), VATS, or thoracotomy] seems to be still advisable before considering definitive treatment, as confirmed by two recent meta-analyses (72,73).

Some patients treated with targeted therapy with TKIs or ICIs show incomplete response or tumor progression at follow up. In fact, the onset of new gene mutations inducing resistance to first-line treatments and tumor transformation into more aggressive, less differentiated histologic subtypes are well known phenomena (75-77). This is why disease restaging could help in the definition of the following treatment. Recovery times being notably shorter, restaging by means of EBUS-TBNA may reduce the time interval between diagnosis and therapy onset compared to surgical procedures. However, there are still few reports investigating the role of EBUS-TBNA for molecular restaging.

Kirita et al. (78) analyzed 70 patients with NSCLC who developed resistance to standard chemotherapy or targeted drugs. Eighteen patients were rebiopsied by means of EBUS-TBNA, and 52 with TBB. All EBUS-TBNA cases resulted diagnostic, compared to 83% of TBBs, even if the difference was not statistically significant. Genotyping was possible in all cases; one patient showed small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) transformation.

### Table 2 Performance of EBUS-TBNA for mediastinal restaging after neoadjuvant chemotherapy or chemo-radiotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>N° patients</th>
<th>Sampling technique</th>
<th>Sensitivity, %</th>
<th>Specificity, %</th>
<th>PPV, %</th>
<th>NPV, %</th>
<th>Accuracy, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herth, 2008 (67)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>EBUS</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szlubowski, 2010 (68)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>EBUS</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zielinski, 2013 (69)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szlubowski, 2014 (70)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>CUSb</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasir, 2014 (71)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>EBUS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genestreti, 2015 (66)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Çetinkaya, 2017 (65)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>EBUS</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muthu, 2018 (72)</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang, 2020 (73)</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1, Meta-analysis; pooled results with EUS-FNA; 2, meta-analysis; results referred to EBUS-TBNA. CUS, combined EBUS and EUS; CUSb, combined EBUS and EUS using a single ultrasound bronchoscope; NPV, negative predictive value; NR, not reported; PPV, positive predictive value; EUS-FNA, endoscopic ultrasound-fine needle aspiration.
In another study by Izumo et al. (79), molecular analysis was required in 53 NSCLC patients previously treated with TKIs who developed resistance. The rate of adequate samples for mutation analysis was higher in the group of patients who underwent EBUS-TBNA compared to TBB under EBUS guidance (100% vs. 75%, respectively). In both Kirita and Izumo series no complications related to EBUS-TBNA procedure have been reported, confirming the safety of the technique. However, the number of patients enrolled in these trials was low, and larger studies are required to confirm these results.

**Next-generation sequencing (NGS) and future perspectives**

In most of the studies investigating the feasibility of molecular analysis on samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA, only one or two genes were tested for target aberrations search (Table 1). Some Authors demonstrated that both cytological and histological EBUS-TBNA specimens are suitable for multiple analyses (37,41,43). However, wasting of material is still a problem to be faced, and accurate selection of molecular tests is an essential step to achieve adequate characterization of the disease. Nevertheless, the number of available therapeutic molecules for mutated NSCLC is rapidly increasing (12), as well as the alterations to be analyzed on the available tissue.

NGS is a novel technique that enables the simultaneous identification of a large panel (from 50 to over 1,000) of gene alterations—including target driver mutations—assessed on a single platform (80). Hence, NGS is going to cover an important role in the therapeutic decision for patients undergoing targeted therapies, immunotherapy, and enrollment in clinical trials.

A few studies investigated the feasibility of NGS on samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA. One of the main limitations for its application is due to the necessity of increasing gradients of cellularity in the specimen according to the number of genes that have to be assessed. For this reason, the adequacy of small EBUS-TBNA samples for such purpose has been a note of concern.

Yet, it has been demonstrated that NGS analysis can be carried out not only on tissue core biopsies, but also on cell blocks, and even on cytology smears (81,82). Several studies reported a successful analysis in over 90% of EBUS-TBNA samples submitted for NGS (83-85).

In experienced centers, EBUS-TBNA has therefore emerged as a technique that enables provision of adequate specimens for a full molecular assessment in patients affected by NSCLC. Future research in the field of molecular analysis with EBUS-TBNA should be directed to the standardization of the sampling technique and tissue management, and the development of dedicated guidelines.

The low NPV, in particular in case of NSCLC restaging, is one of the main limitations of EBUS-TBNA, and studies are being carried out to overcome the high number of false negative cases with new more specific detection targets. Inage and colleagues (86) investigated the role of microRNAs assessment as tumor markers in patients undergoing NSCLC restaging after chemo-radiotherapy with encouraging results, as they were able to reach a NPV of 100%. However, further trials are needed to definitely improve the effectiveness of EBUS-TBNA in this field.

**Conclusions**

Samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA from patients affected by NSCLC are adequate for a full genetic profiling of alterations that can be targeted by tailored treatments. Despite the high number of technical variables involved (type of needle, number of passes, use of ROSE, sample management, detection method), none of these factors seems to sensitively affect the overall diagnostic yield of the technique.

The use of EBUS-TBNA should be encouraged in patients with NSCLC who need a restaging of disease after induction therapy, or progression in the course of therapy with TKIs or ICIs, to guide subsequent treatments. However, considering the relatively high number of false negative cases, it is still advisable to offer a surgical biopsy to patients without evidence of tumor cells on EBUS-TBNA specimen before definitive treatment.
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