



Surgery for early-stage small cell lung cancer: is it worth it?

Melissa A. L. Vyfhuis¹, Pranshu Mohindra², Charles B. Simone II³

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA; ²Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA; ³Department of Radiation Oncology, New York Proton Center, New York, NY 10035, USA

Correspondence to: Charles B. Simone II, MD. Department of Radiation Oncology, New York Proton Center, 225 E. 126th St., New York, NY 10035, USA. Email: csimone@nyproton.com.

Comment on: Yang CJ, Chan DY, Shah SA, *et al.* Long-term Survival After Surgery Compared With Concurrent Chemoradiation for Node-negative Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Surg* 2018;268:1105-12.

Received: 05 March 2019; Accepted: 31 May 2019; published: 14 June 2019.

doi: 10.21037/med.2019.06.01

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/med.2019.06.01>

We commend Yang *et al.* on their recent population-based analysis entitled “Long-term Survival After Surgery Compared with Concurrent Chemoradiation for Node-negative Small Cell Lung Cancer” that was recently published in *Annals of Surgery* (1). National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines state that in patients who are medically operable, surgical resection and adjuvant chemotherapy (\pm radiotherapy) remain standard of care for those with early stage, node-negative small cell lung cancer (SCLC) (2). Yet the data supporting such an approach remain limited to antiquated prospective trials that included SCLC patients with node-positive disease (3-5) or small institutional series that contained a heterogeneous mixture of SCLC stages and chemotherapy and radiation treatment approaches (6-8). The paucity of prospective data in the literature is a testimony to the rarity of early stage, node-negative SCLC found at presentation. SCLC accounts for approximately 12% of all lung cancers in the United States, and of those new diagnoses, less than 5% of those patients present with early stage, potentially operable disease (9). As such, planning a sufficiently powered randomized trial comparing standard-of-care chemoradiation (CRT) (10,11) to surgical resection followed by adjuvant therapy is not feasible.

Population-based analyses offer the advantage of large patient numbers, especially with rare disease entities such as early stage SCLC, and although they are retrospective in nature, they provide an excellent resource for patterns-of-care studies. Yang *et al.* have conducted the first analysis comparing surgery with adjuvant chemotherapy

(\pm radiotherapy) *vs.* CRT in cT1-T2N0 SCLC patients utilizing the National Cancer Database (NCDB) (1). With appropriate exclusion of patients with prolonged time from diagnosis to surgery or CRT, the investigators thoroughly performed both a multivariate Cox regression analysis and a 1:1 matched propensity study to compare survival between the two groups. They found a statistically significant improvement in overall survival with surgery. There was a 40% relative decreased risk of death in patients who underwent surgical resection when compared to those who had CRT therapy alone after accounting for certain socioeconomic and disease characteristics (hazard ratio: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.53–0.71; 5-year survival: 29.8% *vs.* 47.5%; $P < 0.01$).

Cautious interpretation of survival outcomes when using population-based data, however, is warranted. The NCDB lacks any information on patient selection, staging studies (positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT), brain imaging) or salvage treatments given. It is certainly possible that patients who were considered for upfront surgical resection had more favorable demographic characteristics not reported in the NCDB, such as improved performance status, pulmonary function reserve, or social support, all of which can influence outcomes in lung cancer (12,13). Furthermore, mediastinal assessment and clearance with a lung cancer diagnosis is imperative, as it strongly correlates with survival (14-16). However, it is not reported in the NCDB how the mediastinum was staged in cT1-T2N0 SCLC patients who underwent CRT. As is known with stage I non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC),

patients treated with radiation therapy generally receive less extensive or less invasive lymph nodal staging compared with patients receiving surgical resection, resulting in up to one-third of patients who are treated with radiation therapy for presumed stage I NSCLC having nodal metastasis (17). This bias in nodal staging can certainly impact survival and may be even more pronounced for SCLC, a cancer with higher nodal metastasis rates. Indeed, 20% of the surgical cohort had pathologic upstaging of nodal disease at the time of surgery, further underscoring this difference in surgical versus clinical staging in the CRT group that is unaccounted for by this analysis (1). Also, the NCDB lacks specific information on toxicity data besides the reported 3.7% readmission rate and 90-day mortality rate of 2.1% reported in the manuscript. However, one would expect the toxicities of node-negative patients compared with larger-volume node-positive CRT patients or even surgery patients to be minimized in the setting of advanced radiation delivery, image-guidance and modern target volumes that would limit dose to the heart and esophagus.

Additionally, information on patterns-of-failure and specific chemotherapy regimens is also lacking with NCDB studies. While we would expect excellent rates of local control with surgery, the predominant failure type in patients with limited stage SCLC is more often distant, accounting for 50–60% of failures (18,19). Thus, chemotherapy remains critical in the treatment of SCLC, even when limited to stage I disease (20). Although Yang *et al.* did limit their analysis only to those patients who underwent CRT or adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery, the number of cycles and the types of chemotherapy utilized could not be assessed for the two cohorts using NCDB.

Furthermore, due to the high rates of distant failures in patients with early stage SCLC, more rigorous assessment of the potential benefits of surgery and an evaluation of its risks as well as recovery time and chemotherapy delays need to be clarified and placed in the context of alternative local therapies. In patients with early stage, node-negative NSCLC, stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) has become established as the standard of care treatment approach for patients who are medically inoperable or decline resection (21) and has emerged as a viable alternative to surgery in operable candidates, with comparable outcomes in terms of local control and survival (17,21,22). Furthermore, there have been recent multi-center analyses relaying promising results with the use of SBRT in cT1-T2N0 SCLC patients (20,23,24). In our multi-institutional study of 74 patients with early stage SCLC treated with

SBRT, excellent local control was achieved (3-year local control 96.1%), with a median survival of 31 months after receiving chemotherapy (24). Furthermore, a multi-center Japanese retrospective study of 43 patients with early stage SCLC treated with SBRT reported 2-year local control and overall survival rates of 80% and 72%, respectively, despite only 8 of these patients receiving systemic therapy (20). In fact, the use of SBRT for early stage SCLC has increased significantly over the past decade, highlighting the feasibility of this approach (25). Although the study by Yang *et al.* did not compare surgery to SBRT in this rare cohort of patients, it is still an important treatment option to consider, especially in patients who are at such high risk for distant metastasis after local therapy.

Deciding on whether surgery or radiation therapy (as SBRT or as CRT) should be used as definitive local treatment in patients with early stage SCLC remains a matter of controversy, and when considering the rarity of the disease entity, this will most likely never be answered in a randomized, prospective fashion. In the absence of such data, this population-based study by Yang *et al.* provides additional evidence to support the current NCCN recommendations for surgery in early stage SCLC patients. Patients should, however, be well selected, and providers should be aware of the importance of complete systemic (i.e., PET/CT and MRI brain) and nodal (mediastinal assessment) staging prior to surgery, the need for adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy, and the utility of alternative local therapies, particularly of SBRT for medically inoperable patients.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by Section Editor Dr. Qin Lin (Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jiangxi People's Hospital, Nanchang, China).

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/med.2019.06.01>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all

aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Yang CJ, Chan DY, Shah SA, et al. Long-term Survival After Surgery Compared With Concurrent Chemoradiation for Node-negative Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Surg* 2018;268:1105-12.
2. Cancer Network National Comprehensive. Small Cell Lung Cancer. NCCN Clin Pract Guidel Oncol (NCCN Guidel). 2019.
3. Fox W, Scadding JG. Medical Research Council Comparative Trial Of Surgery And Radiotherapy For Primary Treatment of Small-celled or Oat-celled Carcinoma Of Bronchu s. *Lancet* 1973;2:63-5.
4. Lad T, Piantadosi S, Thomas P, et al. A prospective randomized trial to determine the benefit of surgical resection of residual disease following response of small cell lung cancer to combination chemotherapy. *Chest* 1994;106:320S-3S.
5. Barnes H, See K, Barnett S, et al. Surgery for limited-stage small-cell lung cancer. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* 2017;4:CD011917.
6. Lucchi M, Mussi A, Chella A, et al. Surgery in the management of small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 1997;12:689-93.
7. Lim E, Belcher E, Yap YK, et al. The Role of Surgery in the Treatment of Limited Disease Small Cell Lung Cancer: Time to Reevaluate. *J Thorac Oncol* 2008;3:1267-71.
8. Mizushima Y, Noto H, Sugiyama S, et al. The retrospective assessment of surgical resection in the management of small cell carcinoma of the lung. *Oncol Rep* 1996;3:91-4.
9. Varlotto JM, Recht A, Flickinger JC, et al. Lobectomy leads to optimal survival in early-stage small cell lung cancer: A retrospective analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;142:538-46.
10. Turrisi AT, Kim K, Blum R, et al. Twice-Daily Compared with Once-Daily Thoracic Radiotherapy in Limited Small-Cell Lung Cancer Treated Concurrently with Cisplatin and Etoposide. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:265-71.
11. Faivre-Finn C, Snee M, Ashcroft L, et al. Concurrent once-daily versus twice-daily chemoradiotherapy in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer (CONVERT): an open-label, phase 3, randomised, superiority trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18:1116-25.
12. Wang JS, Fischel R., Brenner M, Gelb A., Invernizzi F, Wagner W. Pulmonary function tests in preoperative pulmonary evaluation. *Respir Med* 2004;98:598-605.
13. Vyfhuis MA, Bentzen SM, Edelman MJ, et al. Marriage predicts for survival in patients with stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *JCSO* 2018;16:e194-201.
14. Albain KS, Swann RS, Rusch VW, et al. Radiotherapy plus chemotherapy with or without surgical resection for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:379-86.
15. Suntharalingam M, Paulus R, Edelman MJ, et al. Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Protocol 02-29: A Phase II Trial of Neoadjuvant Therapy With Concurrent Chemotherapy and Full-Dose Radiation Therapy Followed by Surgical Resection and Consolidative Therapy for Locally Advanced Non-small Cell Carcinoma of. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;84:456-63.
16. Vyfhuis MAL, Burrows WM, Bhooshan N, et al. Implications of Pathologic Complete Response Beyond Mediastinal Nodal Clearance With High-Dose Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation Therapy in Locally Advanced, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2018;101:445-52.
17. Simone CB 2nd, Dorsey JF. Additional data in the debate on stage I non-small cell lung cancer: surgery versus stereotactic ablative radiotherapy. *Ann Transl Med* 2015;3:172.
18. Wu AJ, Gillis A, Foster A, et al. Patterns of failure in limited-stage small cell lung cancer: Implications of TNM stage for prophylactic cranial irradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 2017;125:130-5.
19. Shirvani SM, Juloori A, Gomez D, et al. Patterns of Failure for Limited-Stage Small Cell Lung Cancer Following Definitive Radiation Therapy in the Modern Era. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;84:S595-6.
20. Shioyama Y, Onishi H, Takayama K, et al. Clinical Outcomes of Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy for Patients

- With Stage I Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Analysis of a Subset of the Japanese Radiological Society Multi-Institutional SBRT Study Group Database. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2018;17:1533033818783904.
21. Videtic GMM, Donington J, Giuliani M, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer: Executive Summary of an ASTRO Evidence-Based Guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2017;7:295-301.
 22. Chang JY, Senan S, Paul MA, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy versus lobectomy for operable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a pooled analysis of two randomised trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:630-7.
 23. Verma V, Simone CB 2nd, Allen PK, et al. Outcomes of Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy for T1-T2N0 Small Cell Carcinoma According to Addition of Chemotherapy and Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation: A Multicenter Analysis. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2017;18:675-81.e1.
 24. Verma V, Simone CB 2nd, Allen PK, et al. Multi-Institutional Experience of Stereotactic Ablative Radiation Therapy for Stage I Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2017;97:362-71.
 25. Stahl JM, Corso CD, Verma V, et al. Trends in stereotactic body radiation therapy for stage I small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2017;103:11-6.

doi: 10.21037/med.2019.06.01

Cite this article as: Vyfhuis MA, Mohindra P, Simone CB 2nd. Surgery for early-stage small cell lung cancer: is it worth it? *Mediastinum* 2019;3:25.